## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

PANTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR A	}		
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND	)		
NECESSITY TO OPERATE AS A RESELLER OF	CASE	NO.	95-319
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES WITHIN THE	1		
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	1		

## ORDER

On July 25, 1995, Pantel Communications, Inc. ("Pantel") filed an application with the Commission seeking a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to resell intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Pantel is an Illinois corporation with its principal offices in the state of Illinois and intends to resell tariffed services of facilities-based carriers certified by this Commission. Pantel does not request authority to provide operator-assisted telecommunications services.

Pantel does not own or operate, nor does it intend to construct, any telecommunications transmission facilities within the Commonwealth of Kentucky. All intrastate telecommunications transmission services will be provided by an underlying carrier certified by this Commission.

The application provided by Pantel demonstrates its financial, managerial, and technical capability to provide utility service. The Commission finds that Pantel should be authorized to resell

intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Pantel filed its proposed tariff on July 25, 1995. The Commission finds that the rates proposed by Pantel should be approved as the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged.

Pantel also requested a deviation from the tariff format prescribed in 807 KAR 5:011, Section 15. It appears, however, that the tariff submitted by Pantel conforms to the regulatory requirements. Consequently, no deviation is necessary, and Pantel should file its tariff in the format provided in Exhibit B to Pantel's application.

In Administrative Case No. 306, the Commission stated the importance of eliminating possible customer confusion arising from the name of the billing service, rather than the name of the provider of telecommunications services, appearing on the bill. Accordingly, Pantel should ensure that its name appears prominently on all bills issued to customers for services rendered by it.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record and being otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 1. Pantel be and it hereby is granted authority to resell intrastate interexchange long-distance telecommunications services within the Commonwealth of Kentucky on and after the date of this Order.
- 2. Pantel shall ensure that its name appears prominently on all bills issued to customers for services rendered.

Administrative Case No. 306, Detariffing Billing and Collection Services, Order Dated April 30, 1990.

- 3. Pantel's authority to provide service is strictly limited to those services described in this Order and Pantel's application.
- 4. IntraLATA services shall be provided in accordance with the restrictions and conditions of service contained in Administrative Case No. 323.2
- 5. The rates proposed by Pantel on July 25, 1995 are hereby approved.
- 6. Within 30 days from the date of this Order, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:011, Pantel shall file its July 25, 1995 tariff sheets without modifications.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 13th day of September, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director

Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality.